

# SEA MATE - Ocean Visions Joint Research Plan

## **Purpose**

This document serves to define areas of focus where the Ocean Visions Advisors will engage with and advise the SEA MATE project at Stony Brook University and University of Washington

## **Authors**

SEA MATE:

Prof. Matthew Eisaman, Stony Brook University

Dr. Brendan Carter University of Washington and NOAA

Ocean Visions:

Brad Ack, Executive Director

Dr. David Koweek, Science Director

Advisors:

Prof. Ellen Briggs, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Prof. David Ho, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Prof. Kristy Kroeker, University of California, Santa Cruz

Prof. William Tarpeh, Stanford University

# Key questions and areas of engagement

## Topic 1: Design of experiment

### Key questions

- What is the ratio of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> stored to the amount of HCl removed and NaOH returned to the ocean?
- After HCl is removed and NaOH is returned to the ocean, how long does it take for CO<sub>2</sub> to be absorbed by the ocean and stored as bicarbonate?

### Areas of engagement

- Tank experiments
  - Experimental setup
    - i. Strategies for minimizing biological growth
    - ii. Design of planned experiments and suggested additional experiments to support the SEA MATE workplan
  - Data collection and analysis
    - i. How to quantify the carbon uptake? Need for bottom up (CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ) difference) alongside direct (dissolved inorganic carbon accumulation) quantification of carbon uptake?
  - Sensors
    - i. Potential for testing of new sensors
    - ii. Assessments of sensor reliability, durability, accuracy
    - iii. Sensor redundancy needs
- Field tests
  - Experimental setup
  - Data collection and analysis
  - Sensor requirements

## Topic 2: Measurement and verification of CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown

### Key questions

- What is the best sensor deployment and modeling strategy for quantifying CO<sub>2</sub> storage in deployed systems?
- What is the ecosystem response to SEA MATE and how does this affect verification?

### Areas of engagement

- Assessment of advantages and disadvantages of measurement methodologies
  - Direct CO<sub>2</sub> flux measurements
  - Tracer injection
    - i. Quantify the effect of potential for partial re-equilibration given the approximate two-week timescale for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption
  - “Signature” study that imposes, and then looks for, changes that vary at specific frequencies
  - Covariance between distance from dispersal of NaOH and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake
    - i. Need predictable flow to position the second sensor
      - 1. Could a riverine environment be helpful despite the non-conservative alkalinity contribution?
      - 2. Identify locations with well studied and understood physical and biogeochemical properties.
- Suggested lab or field studies
  - Quantify the potential of partially confined environments to allow a gradual transition between small-scale tank experiments and large scale open ocean deployments while still creating measurable signals.
- Future needs in sensors or sensor networks
  - Quantify the need and state-of-the-art for the simultaneous measurement of two seawater carbonate chemistry parameters.
    - i. Dissolved inorganic carbon and CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure (pCO<sub>2</sub>) in the surface ocean
    - ii. Assess the state-of-the-art in alkalinity sensor development and relevance to SEA MATE
    - iii. Confirm whether or not single carbonate chemistry parameter measurements can be effectively combined with approaches that estimate a second constraint from other more readily measured properties (e.g., temperature, salinity, and oxygen) for SEA MATE assessments
  - Identify the sensor platforms that will provide measurements on the needed scales, e.g.:
    - i. Saildrones to rapidly measure widespread surface changes
    - ii. Moorings or PRAWLERS to quantify changes at fixed locations
    - iii. Gliders that make measurement profiles to moderate depths

## Topic 3: Effect of SEA MATE on marine ecosystems

### Key questions

- What are the limits on seawater chemistry we must stay within to avoid negative effects on marine organisms?
- What is the impact of SEA MATE on local vs. regional seawater chemistry?

### Areas of engagement

- Estimation of reasonable bounds on seawater chemistry parameters such as pH and calcium saturation state that would avoid negative effects on marine life
- Quantify the potential for positive effects on marine life (i.e., co-benefits)
- Suggested lab or field studies
- Model parameterizations for biological and chemical responses and thresholds

## Topic 4: Technology

### Key questions

- What process steps, process flows, or equipment can be shared with related industries to lower overall costs?
- What are the ideal technologies (for example, membranes, treatment processes, etc.) for implementing SEA MATE in terms of the net cost of captured CO<sub>2</sub>?

### Areas of engagement

- Quantitatively assess potential for partnership and process intensification with water treatment industries like desalination and wastewater treatment
- Identify and potential users of the products made from the SEA MATE process

## Topic 5: Permitting and regulation

### Key questions

- What regulations apply to the SEA MATE process?
- What permits are required for field testing and deployment of SEA MATE?

### Areas of engagement

- Assessment of permits required for operation
- Suggested lab or field studies
- Design of experiments and tests that gather the data required for environmental impact statements